

Elucidating and Expanding the Restorative Theory Framework to Comprehend Influential Factors Supporting Ageing-in-Place

Citation for published version (APA):

Grave, A. J. J., Neven, L., & Mohammadi, M. (2023). Elucidating and Expanding the Restorative Theory Framework to Comprehend Influential Factors Supporting Ageing-in-Place: A Scoping Review. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 20(18), Article 6801.
<https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph20186801>

Document license:

CC BY

DOI:

[10.3390/ijerph20186801](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph20186801)

Document status and date:

Published: 02/09/2023

Document Version:

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of Record (includes final page, issue and volume numbers)

Please check the document version of this publication:

- A submitted manuscript is the version of the article upon submission and before peer-review. There can be important differences between the submitted version and the official published version of record. People interested in the research are advised to contact the author for the final version of the publication, or visit the DOI to the publisher's website.
- The final author version and the galley proof are versions of the publication after peer review.
- The final published version features the final layout of the paper including the volume, issue and page numbers.

[Link to publication](#)

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

If the publication is distributed under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license above, please follow below link for the End User Agreement:

www.tue.nl/taverne

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at:

openaccess@tue.nl

providing details and we will investigate your claim.



Review

Elucidating and Expanding the Restorative Theory Framework to Comprehend Influential Factors Supporting Ageing-in-Place: A Scoping Review

Anne Johanna Jacoba Grave ^{1,*}, Louis Neven ² and Masi Mohammadi ^{1,2}

¹ Smart Architectural Technologies, Department of the Built Environment, Eindhoven University of Technology, Groene Loper 3, 5612 AE Eindhoven, The Netherlands; m.mohammadi@tue.nl

² Research Group Architecture in Health, HAN University of Applied Sciences, Ruitenberglaan 26, 6826 CC Arnhem, The Netherlands; louis.neven@han.nl

* Correspondence: a.j.j.grave@tue.nl; Tel.: +31-(0)-40-247-9111

Abstract: Exposure to stress and attention fatigue resulting from changes in capabilities and residing in environments that do not align with individual needs can adversely impact older adults' mental health and complicate ageing-in-place. Research into the psychological restoration process can help assist in alleviating these issues. Existing research on restoration perspectives has predominantly centred on university students and lacks comprehensive insights into older adults. Consequently, this study seeks to acquire a deeper understanding of the restorative theory framework within the context of ageing populations. We identified and analysed thirty-nine papers on the restoration process of older adults employing the scoping review method. Our findings indicate that adjustments to the general restorative theory framework are imperative for ageing populations. By incorporating additional features—such as being with and familiarity—the framework can more effectively support the development of age-inclusive neighbourhoods that enhance the mental health of the older population and facilitate healthy ageing-in-place. While more in-depth research is required on the restoration process of older adults, this research marks the initial in adapting the general framework to ageing populations. Furthermore, insight is given into how the adapted framework can contribute to help address the challenges of global ageing and support ageing-in-place.

Keywords: psychological restoration; older adults; restorative environments; mental health; ageing-in-place



Citation: Grave, A.J.J.; Neven, L.; Mohammadi, M. Elucidating and Expanding the Restorative Theory Framework to Comprehend Influential Factors Supporting Ageing-in-Place: A Scoping Review. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* **2023**, *20*, 6801. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph20186801>

Academic Editors:
Marina Almeida-Silva and Maria
Teresa Barreiros Caetano Tomás

Received: 31 July 2023
Revised: 13 September 2023
Accepted: 19 September 2023
Published: 21 September 2023



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

1. Introduction

Due to scientific advances, improved living conditions and medical breakthroughs, our global population is rapidly ageing. In 2050, the global population of people aged 60 and older is expected to double to nearly 2.1 billion people [1]. An ageing population affects society in many ways, including public policies, health care and social services, as well as how to design our environment [2,3]. To this end, the World Health Organization launched its Ageing and health strategy [4], which aims to support the older population through “healthy ageing”. This policy also ties in with the wish of many older adults to age in place and grow old in a familiar living environment [3,5]. Unfortunately, for many older adults, ageing-in-place becomes difficult when a misfit between their living environment and their changing capabilities arises [6,7]. As a result of the ageing process, older adults can experience changing needs and capabilities, for example, declined physical strength, self-care abilities and increased risk of developing chronic conditions and mental health issues [8–11]. These changes in the individual let people experience their living environment differently. To continue living at home, older adults must adapt to cope with the environment [6].

The literature highlights three pathways that individuals follow when adapting to changes in their needs and capabilities in response to environmental shifts: (1) the stress

perspective: this pathway focuses on mitigating heavy demands; (2) the coping perspective: this approach seeks to enhance the availability of resources for adaptation; and (3) the restoration perspective: within this context, the restoration perspective aims to provide opportunities to recover resources. This includes activities like attention restoration and psychophysiological stress recovery [12–14]. The restoration process is defined as “the process of recovering physiological, psychological and social resources that have become diminished in efforts to meet the demands of everyday life” [15] (p. 164). In the field of research on ageing-in-place, emphasis is often put on the first two pathways. Researchers frequently investigate strategies to reduce exposure to environmental stressors, such as air pollution and noise, promote physical activity and health through the design of therapeutic or biophilic environments and foster social cohesion (e.g., [10,16–19]). However, studies focusing on the third pathway—psychological restoration in the context of the older population—remain relatively sparse [17,20]. Existing research on the restoration perspective primarily focuses on university students. While older adults do require restoration of physiological, psychological and social resources [17,20], they are more susceptible to attention fatigue and life stressors, as evidenced by previous research [21,22]. This susceptibility is not solely attributed to age-related changes in capabilities; it is also linked to a heightened likelihood of encountering stressful life events. These events may include declines in socioeconomic status, alterations in social structures and shifts in family support dynamics [11,23,24]. If older adults in their environment do not have enough opportunities to restore resources, attention fatigue and chronic stress can arise, affecting daily functioning and mental health [23,25]. It is estimated that one in five older adults without dementia experience mental health problems [8–10,23]. Such conditions, notably anxiety and depression, pose a significant challenge to the pursuit of healthy ageing-in-place, thereby exerting additional strain on already stretched social services and healthcare systems [2,3].

Unfortunately, even though older adults need to restore resources, the restorative pathway has, until now, not been extensively studied with this older target group [17,26–28]. Many experimental studies are restricted to younger study populations, such as university students (e.g., [29–33]). However, exploring the restoration process and its applicability and effectiveness concerning ageing populations in current ageing societies has become imperative. Therefore, in this study, we want to review the literature on older adults’ restoration process, elucidate and expand the psychological restoration theory for ageing populations and comprehend influential factors supporting ageing-in-place. Expanding knowledge on restoration theory for older populations can raise awareness about how living environments influence older adults’ mental health and that stress and attention fatigue are potential health risks for ageing populations that hinder ageing-in-place [34–36]. The restoration of cognitive and affective resources needs to be considered when discussing holistic and integrative approaches for developing healthy age-inclusive neighbourhoods that suit the capabilities and needs of older adults, including their need for psychological restoration. Furthermore, knowledge about the third pathway can complement the available knowledge of the other two pathways, letting us better understand older adults’ person–environment adaptation process and improving ageing-in-place strategies in policy and design.

A scoping literature review was performed to gain an overview of the existing knowledge about the restorative process for ageing populations and learn more about the influential factors of the restoration pathway. Compared with a systematic review, in a scoping review, qualitative and quantitative studies with a wide variety of methods from different research fields can be included in the review [37,38]. The scoping review method allows to review emerging studies from various fields, using varied study designs in this developing field.

The General Theory Framework of Psychological Restoration

To expand the psychological restoration theory in the context of older populations, we first need to shed light on the current state of knowledge in the field. The restoration pathway describes the process of psychological restoration: “the experience of a psychological and/or physiological recovery process that is triggered by particular environments and environmental configura-

tions” [39] (p. 58). In the environmental psychology literature, this process is explained by two major theories, namely the Attention Restoration Theory (ART) [40] and the Stress Reduction Theory (SRT) [41,42]. Both theories propose that specifically designed environments can trigger cognitive and affective processes, allowing psychological, physiological and social resources to be restored [42,43]. The ART focuses on the capacity to direct attention, a more cognitive process [43], and the SRT focuses on reducing stress and negative moods, a more affective process [42]. Despite these differences, both theories are often used simultaneously to research the mental health effects of restorative environments [44].

Hartig [14] set up a general framework for both restorative theories (Table 1). This framework shows the resources that come into play, get depleted and need restoration, as well as which features of a person–environment transaction can permit and promote the restoration process and the outcomes of the restoration process [14]. Currently, this framework is often deployed in studies with younger target groups like university students (e.g., [29–33]). In this scoping review, we want to elucidate the current framework and explore the applicability and effectiveness of the framework concerning ageing populations. In addition, we focus on studying the features of person–environment transactions of the current general restoration framework that permit and promote restoration (Table 1). These features are environmental requirements of the restoration process through which the depleted resource(s) can be restored. We examine if these features are also relevant for older populations and if additional features are needed to fully describe the restoration process of older populations.

Table 1. A general framework for theories about restorative environments: Stress Reduction Theory and Attention Restoration Theory. The pictograms give additional information about the features of P–E transactions that permit and promote restoration according to the theories. Adapted from Hartig [14] (p. 100).











Theory	Resource Category	Antecedent Condition	Features of P-E Transactions That Permit Restoration	Features of P-E Transactions That Promote Restoration	Outcomes That Can Reflect on Restoration	
Stress Reduction Theory (SRT)	Ability to mobilise for action	Psychophysiological stress	The apparent absence of uncontrollable threat	Perception of natural contents	Moderate levels of complexity	More positive self-reported affect, lower blood pressure and cortisol levels
						
			In view of a threatful event, feelings of safety need to be encouraged to permit the restoration process.	Scenes with water enhance environmental quality.	Describes the number of separated elements in an environment and the balance between structured and unstructured elements.	
				Gross structure	Other visual stimulus attributes	
						
			The environment needs to give structured information for orientation, for example, a clear focal point.	The line of sight is deflected, hiding what could be lying behind this raises feelings of interest and curiosity. Impacts feelings of spaciousness		

Table 1. Cont.

Theory	Resource Category	Antecedent Condition	Features of P-E Transactions That Permit Restoration		Features of P-E Transactions That Promote Restoration		Outcomes That Can Reflect on Restoration
			Being away	Compatibility	Fascination	Extent	
Attention Restoration Theory (ART)	Ability to direct attention	Directed attention fatigue					Improved performance on standardised tests of cognitive abilities
			Escape (physically or mentally) from everyday routine pressures and obligations.	The perceived fit between the environment and the individual needs and inclinations.	The environment's capability to involuntarily catch one's attention and not demand mental effort.	Refers to properties of connectedness. The environment feels like a whole (coherence) and promises to engage one's mind (scope).	
					Compatibility		

2. Methods

2.1. Study Design

The scoping review was carried out according to the five-step approach defined by Arksey and O'Malley [37] and adjusted by Levac [38]. The first step, identifying the research question, is presented in the introduction. The four sequential steps are described below. All authors discussed procedures to ensure consistent search methodology, and the PRISMA-ScR checklist was followed to ensure clarity of reporting [45].

2.2. Study Identification

A comprehensive search of the literature was conducted in January 2023 to answer the research question: "To what extent is the current framework of psychological restoration theory applicable to older adults, and how do the various elements of this framework contribute to supporting the concept of ageing-in-place?". The databases searched to identify studies were Scopus, Pubmed, Google Scholar and Web of Science. Search terms were arranged according to the two key themes of the research question: the psychological restoration process and older populations (Table 2). The terms were used to make several search strings. Each string used at least one of the key themes' search terms, for example: ("psychological restoration") AND ("age differences" AND "life course"). Furthermore, we tried to find additional papers, book chapters or conference papers by scanning publication lists of well-known authors to prevent publication bias. Additionally, we looked at the backlog of essential journals in the field like the *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, *Environment and Behavior* and the *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*.

Table 2. Search items used in the search per key theme.

Psychological restoration	Restoration likelihood; Restorative experiences; Restorative potential; Perceived restoration; Restorative environment; Attention restoration; Stress
Older population	Elderly; Older adult; Third age; Fourth age; Life span; Life course; Old people; Elder; Age differences; Senior; Older individuals

2.3. Screening and Study Selection

In total, 1083 records were identified by the first author (Figure 1). After removing duplicates, 979 papers were nominated for title selection. First, we removed non-English titles and nonoriginal works, not-peer-reviewed works, theses, and reports, keeping 698 titles for further selection. Hereafter, iterative selection cycles were performed with all three authors. In the first selection cycle, titles were selected by the first author based on two inclusion criteria: older adults and psychological restoration. Doubtful cases were discussed among all three authors. Hereafter, the abstract selection was performed. At the start of the abstract selection, the first author used a random number generator to select 25 titles. Then, inclusion/exclusion decisions were discussed and agreed upon among all three authors. After that, abstracts were selected based on two inclusion criteria: (1) The sample included older adults of 60+ years. Although in gerontology and elsewhere, there are debates about which age constitutes the start of old age, we chose the age of 60, as in several countries people start retiring at this age (for example, in China) [46]. Especially for women, it is a common retirement age worldwide, for example, in Austria, Chilli and Poland [46]. Retirement is a relevant marker of old age for this paper, as the roles people play in society and the daily activities of people are markedly different after retirement. (2) Psychological restoration needed to be discussed following the ART or SRT theory following the general restorative theory framework [14]. Therefore, other forms of restoration were excluded from the study (e.g., building, dental and nature area restoration). Eventually, 55 papers were included for full paper analyses. During the full paper analyses, participant samples were examined by the first author. Papers where all participants were 60 years or older or papers that explicitly looked at age differences within a participant sample, for example, comparing older adults with younger adults or teenagers, were included in the study. Eventually, 39 papers were included in the review (Figure 1).




Figure 1. Flow chart of the paper selection process based on PRISMA [47] flow diagram for scoping reviews.

2.4. Data Charting

Following the scoping literature review method [37,38], the next step was setting up a data charting table (Appendix A). Papers were grouped according to the data charting table: author, year, country, research type, theory background, research methods, psychological restoration measures, other measures, participant number and age, other sample characteristics and type of environment. These data were used for descriptive and comparative paper analyses [37,48].

2.5. Collation, Summarising and Analysis

After descriptive and comparative paper analyses using the data charting table, the next step was to upload all the selected papers in Atlas.ti for qualitative content analyses. As stated by Smit and Sherman [49], a scoping literature review is a utilised form of qualitative research and Atlas.ti is a suitable application to conduct the paper analyses in a structured way. During the analysis, 105 codes emerged. The codes were grouped following the research questions into three theme groups: (1) features of person–environment transactions that permit the restoration process of older adults, (2) features of person–environment transactions that promote the restoration process of older adults, and (3) contextualising data (e.g., type of environment, demographic data and research limitations). Finally, the code groups were analysed, and the results are presented in the next section.

3. Results

3.1. Descriptive Results

In this scoping review, thirty-nine peer-reviewed papers were included and analysed to elucidate and expand the restorative theory framework and comprehend influential factors that support ageing-in-place. First, the descriptive results (e.g., methods used and participant groups included) are presented to indicate the quality of the studies included in this review.

The thirty-nine peer-reviewed papers included in this study were published in twenty-six journals from various fields, like gerontology, design, landscape research and environmental psychology. These results indicate the broad distribution of knowledge about the psychological restoration process and old age in various research fields. The scoping review method allowed us to bring this scattered research together, although overall numbers remained small. This scoping review found only one paper published before 2004, written by Travis and McAuley [50] (Figure 2). From 2004 onwards, multiple authors started publishing studies focussing on the restorative experience of older adults, and a slow **increase in publications** over the years can be seen, indicating a growing interest in the psychological restoration process of older adults. This could possibly be related to the rising pressure of ageing populations on healthcare systems and societies and the growing need to mitigate these problems.




Figure 2. The number of publications per year.

The majority of the analysed papers were empirical studies (N = 36). The remaining three studies of the sample were literature reviews. The topic of psychological restoration for older adults is developing, which is reflected in the **wide variety of methods** used

(Appendix A). The studies varied between using qualitative (N = 7), quantitative (N = 25) and mixed methods (N = 7) to measure psychological restoration. Which methods were deployed depended on whether authors followed the ART or SRT and if they were more qualitative or quantitatively oriented. Most of the studies were adherent to the ART (N = 21). These studies often used attention tests (e.g., Digit Span Test) to measure restoration or validated questionnaires like the Perceived Restoration Scale (PRS) and the Restoration Outcome Scale (ROS). If the authors were qualitative-oriented, they often analysed interview or spatial data using the four ART features (extent, fascination, being away and compatibility). Studies adherent to the SRT (N = 7) looked at changes in stress levels using self-rated stress scales or measuring physiological characteristics (e.g., blood pressure and heart rate). Eleven studies used methods from both theories. As a result of these varied methods, there are also variations in the presented results. Sometimes, authors present specific features permitting or promoting psychological restoration. Other studies present restorative experiences or design solutions. Because of these variations, the results of different studies cannot always be compared easily. We took these differences between studies into account during our analyses and reported on them further in the following sections.

Although there are many differences between the methods used in the analysed studies, there is also one apparent similarity: **the participant samples** are predominantly comprised of healthy, relatively young ($M_{\text{age}} = 70.9$ years) individuals living independently in the community. Often, participants needed to pass a Mini-Mental State Exam and needed to be able to walk without a walking aid. Only five studies included more vulnerable older adults, including people living in an institution, sitting in a wheelchair, having dementia or recovering from a hip fracture (e.g., [50–52]). On the one hand, it is logical for researchers to focus on younger and fit older adults so that there are not too many differences in the study participants. On the other hand, the studies only show results from one specific group, not representing the diversity present in the older population.

The last noteworthy observation about the analysed studies is **the type of environments researched**. Most studies focused on traditional restorative environments like forests and urban parks [20]. However, these environments are not always easily accessible to the older population. Only seven of the thirty-nine studies researched more accessible restorative urban environments close to older adults' homes, like streets and neighbourhood open spaces (e.g., [20,51,53–55]).

This review delves into a relatively underexplored area within the restoration literature: older adults' restoration process. Despite the fact that older adults have not yet received extensive research attention, we see a slight rise in publications on the topic, indicating a growing interest in the research field. However, it is crucial to acknowledge that research on the psychological restoration of older adults is still in its developmental stages. Studies are dispersed across various disciplines. There are ongoing discussions about methodological issues, a unilateral participant group's involvement, and there is still little variety in the type of restorative environment studied. More attention should be paid to these issues in future research to further develop the research field of psychological restoration for older populations.

3.2. The General Restorative Theory Framework

After the descriptive analysis, we explored if the ten environmental features named in the general restorative theory framework (Table 1) that permit and promote restoration are applicable and effective in the context of an ageing population.

3.2.1. Features That Permit Restoration for Older Populations

The general theory framework proposes three environmental features that permit restoration [15,40,41] (Table 1). These features allow an environment to be free of demands that cause the need for restoration. The SRT states that the **absence of threat** is an essential feature of a restorative environment [42]; one must feel safe before the restoration process can occur. In different qualitative studies, for example, by Jansen [56] and Finlay and

colleagues [55], the absence of threat is often described by older adults as an important factor when talking about their restorative experiences (e.g., [55,56]). If older adults feel safe and comfortable in an environment, there is a higher chance that psychological restoration can occur [57,58]. Among others, Qiu and colleagues [59] and Li and colleagues [60] found in their quantitative studies evidence that if spaces can feel unsafe, for example, because of high-density vegetation, feelings of enclosure, insufficient light or too much traffic, this may increase stress and mental fatigue for older adults due to feelings of insecurity [55,59–61]. Furthermore, Cassarino and colleagues [62] and Lu and colleagues [63] found evidence in their experimental studies that a good balance between prospect and refuge in an environment increases a sense of security [62,63].

ART proposes that experiences of being away and compatibility permit restoration [43] (Table 1). These features include the ability to break with routines, get away from daily life and the ability of the environment to match a person's capabilities to not further tax "already" depleted resources [14,15,44]. The feature **compatibility** is described in the literature as an essential feature of older adults' restoration process [22,52,64,65] (Table 3). Scopelliti and Giuliani [64] state, for example, "*a general result claims for the importance of perceived compatibility between elderly persons' needs and environmental characteristics; when lacking, the consequence is often a dramatic decrease in the restorative potential of everyday settings*" (p. 223). The importance of compatibility for older adults' restoration process is also evident in other studies, for example, in Fumagalli and colleagues' [22] analysis of older adults' descriptions of restorative experiences and in the experimental study of Ottosson and Grahn [52] measuring changes in attention levels in nursing home residents. The importance of the factor compatibility is linked to older adults changing capabilities, which increases the chance of a person–environment misfit due to a lack of compatibility between the person and the environment [17,22]. A lack of compatibility decreases the restorative potential of environments for older adults. Especially in urban, manmade environments, the lack of compatibility is often the main factor negatively affecting perceived restoration [64]. A factor that can negatively impact compatibility is the accessibility of an environment. No restoration can occur if an older adult cannot access or explore the environment [56,66]. Authors like Moore [61] and Marques and colleagues [66] suggest improving accessibility and, thereby, restoration for older adults by designing restorative environments close to the homes of older adults that have toilets, seats, smooth pavement, not much traffic, tree cover, shade and water features [22,61,66].

Opinions about the importance of the permitting feature **being away** from older populations are divided. In different qualitative studies, older adults describe feelings of being away when describing their restorative experiences [22,55,57,67]. Furthermore, in their experimental studies, Rosenbaum and colleagues [53,54] found that environments like senior cafés or senior centres can offer escape experiences for older adults as a home away from home. However, other studies show that being away was significantly less important for older adults compared with younger age groups [26,65]. A possible explanation for this is that older adults may form strong attachments to specific, familiar environments in which they feel safe and comfortable and have strong memories connected. Therefore, they have less need to distance themselves from these environments to gain restoration [26]. Another explanation could be that older adults have less need to distance themselves from ordinary aspects of life to gain restoration [65]. More research is needed to obtain better insight into this feature's role in permitting psychological restoration for older populations.

Table 3. Features that permit and promote the restoration process of older populations according to the general framework and additional features that can support the restoration process of ageing populations.













Theory	Features of P-E Transactions That Permit Restoration for the Older Population	Features of P-E Transactions That Promote Restoration for the Older Population
Stress Reduction Theory (SRT)	<p>Absence of uncontrollable threat</p>  <p>An essential feature of older adults' restoration process. If older adults feel unsafe in an environment, restoration cannot occur [51,54,55,58–61,63–66].</p>	<p>Perception of natural contents</p>  <p>Scenes with water support feelings of calmness and relaxation due to sensory stimulation, also for the older population [22,28,55,59,61,63,64,68].</p> <p>Visual stimulus attributes</p>  <p>Deflected vistas can enhance curiosity and motivate older adults to go outdoors and explore their everyday environments. There needs to be a right balance of prospect and refuge. Ability to see the environment without feeling exposed [26,50,59–63,65,69].</p>
		<p>Moderate levels of complexity</p>  <p>Not named in the reviewed literature in the context of the older population.</p>
		<p>Gross structure</p>  <p>Not named in the reviewed literature in the context of the older population.</p>
Attention Restoration Theory (ART)	<p>Being away</p>  <p>Doubt arises in which way the component being-away is essential for older adults' restoration process. Escape from every day routines is challenged by the need for social interaction [17,22,26,27,54–59,61,67,70,71].</p>	<p>Fascination</p>  <p>An important feature of older adults' restoration process. Encourages older adults to explore their surroundings. Authors propose that fascination for older adults is not stimulated by 'newness' but by experiencing the familiar in a new way [17,20,22,26,52–54,57–59,61,64,65,69,70,72,73].</p>
	<p>Compatibility</p>  <p>Due to changing capabilities, this feature becomes essential for the restoration process of the older population. Lack of compatibility between the person and the environment dramatically decreases the restorative potential for older adults. Aspects of accessibility play an important role in this feature [17,20,22,26,50,52,54,55,57–59,61,64,65,69,70,74].</p>	<p>Extent</p>  <p>Linked to the presence of (childhood) memories and sensory stimulation. Not named as a condition that will change for the older population [17,20,22,26,57–59,61,64,65].</p>
		<p>Compatibility</p>  <p>An essential feature for the restoration process of older adults. Although their capabilities change, the environment should enable their life activities [22,54,55,58,59,61,64,65,69,70].</p>

Table 3. Cont.

Theory	Features of P-E Transactions That Permit Restoration for the Older Population	Features of P-E Transactions That Promote Restoration for the Older Population
Outside conventional theories	<p>Being with</p>  <p>Being-with others is suggested as an essential feature of the restoration process of older adults; however, individual needs need to be taken into account [17,20,22,50–52,54–56,59–61,63–65,67,71,75–77].</p>	<p>Familiarity</p>  <p>Familiarity can be an additional feature of older adults’ restoration process. Familiar environments can enhance feelings of safety and comfort, promoting the restoration process. A balance between new and familiar elements is important to prevent over or under-stimulation [20,52,55,57,62,64–66,74].</p>

3.2.2. Features That Promote Restoration for Older Populations

In addition to features that can permit restoration, the general restorative theory framework also proposes seven features that promote the restoration process [15,40,41] (Table 1). These features can draw a person’s thoughts away from demand and attract and hold their attention, prolonging the restorative process [15,42,43]. Most of these features are also described when researching the restoration process of the older population.

The SRT proposes four features, of which **gross structure** and **moderate complexity** are not or hardly discussed in the context of older populations. However, this does not mean that these are not essential features, only that they have not been researched in the currently reviewed literature. The features **presence of water** and **deflected vista** are studied in the context of older populations and are confirmed as factors that play a role in their psychological restoration process (e.g., [59,75]) (Table 3). Finlay and colleagues found in their qualitative study that water enhances feelings of being connected to nature and is linked to feelings of tranquillity, calmness and spirituality [22,55]. Furthermore, water features such as fountains can enhance curiosity and stimulate the senses (e.g., sounds), promoting restoration for older populations, as found in the empirical studies of Moore [61] and Fumagalli and colleagues [22]. Similarly, Roe and Roe found in their literature review that feature-deflected vistas can enhance curiosity and motivate older adults to go outdoors and explore their everyday environments [17]. Environments with no open exposed view but deflected vistas that offer shelter and provoke exploration of the environment are the most optimal for the psychological restoration of older adults [52,59,63]. However, even though both features are important for the restoration process of older adults, no differences with other age groups are described in the analysed literature.

The ART theory proposes three features of person–environment transactions that promote restoration (Table 1). The feature **extent** is not named in the analysed literature as a feature that will impact the restoration process of older adults differently from other age groups. Some studies link this factor with the presence of (childhood) memories [26,50,57]. Recalling images and emotions that belong to carefree and cheerful moments from life could potentially affect feelings of connectedness (extent) and the restoration process for older adults [22]; however, more research is needed to confirm these assumptions. Furthermore, the literature names the feature **fascination** as an extra important feature for older adults [22,65,73] (Table 3). Jang and colleagues [73] found in their quantitative study that older adults of 60+ years focused on feature fascination (e.g., exploring the surroundings) when judging restorative environments, while younger people focused more on legality and coherence (e.g., structure and orientation), which are part of the feature extent. Others like Liao and colleagues [57] found significant evidence that elements like shade, biodiversity, colourful flowers and vegetation density can enhance fascination in an environment for older populations [17,57,61]. Lastly, feature **compatibility** is named as a feature for permitting and promoting restoration. In addition to allowing restoration, an environment must enable people to carry out activities they want to perform and not limit their abilities, promoting restorative experiences [14]. This is also true for ageing populations; the environment must enable older adults to carry out activities they want

to perform that can offer restoration, like exploring the environment, viewing scenery and having fun [64,65]. However, whether this differs from other age groups remains to be studied.

Thus, after a thorough analysis of the literature, it becomes evident that adjustments are needed to adapt the general restorative theory framework to suit ageing populations' needs. As seen in Table 3, most but not all features of the general restoration theory framework play a role in the context of the older population. Based on the reviewed literature, we suggest prioritising the permitting features. The features safety and compatibility become essential for older adults' restoration process. The promoting features proposed by the general framework seem similar for older adults compared to other age groups, with the exception of feature fascination, which holds greater significance in older adults' restoration process compared with other promoting elements outlined in the general framework. Although more research into these permitting and promoting features is needed, these results show that adjustments to the general restorative theory framework are needed to better suit ageing populations' needs and support healthy ageing-in-place.

3.3. Additional Features for the General Restorative Theory Framework

In addition to the general restorative theory framework's current features, we propose to extend the current framework with two additional features. The first suggestion for an addition is the feature **being with others** (Table 3). Chen and Yuan [75] found in their experimental study that social contact mediated restoration for older adults. Also, Lu and colleagues [63] indicated that companionship significantly impacted the Restoration Outcome Scale. This aligns with others who found that social interaction increases restorative potential, especially in urban environments [59,64,71,78]. A possible explanation is that being together enhances the features of safety and compatibility, increasing the chance that restoration can take place. Another explanation could be that being with others enhances feelings of being part of the world, distancing older adults from their more socially isolated home situation and promoting the feature of being away [17,54]. However, these explanations are not yet confirmed. Scopelliti and Giuliani [65] found in their mixed-method study interesting evidence that being with somebody significantly impacted the restoration process of teenagers and adults but not for older participants. With these varying results, it is essential to remember that social interaction does not always positively affect restoring resources. It depends on the situation and the person. For example, feelings of loneliness, as well as crowding, can negatively influence the restoration process [22,60]. The effects of the social context on restorative processes may vary for different individuals. For example, spending time with family could offer restoration, but for others, it can be mentally and physically draining [56]. Furthermore, the impact of the social component could also be different in natural environments compared with urban environments because social obligations can negatively affect the restoration process [64,65]. More research is needed on how individual differences and social contexts affect older adults' restoration processes.

Secondly, we suggest adding the feature **familiarity** to the general restorative theory framework when using it in the context of ageing populations (e.g., [62,74]) (Table 3). Berto [74] found in an experimental study a correlation between restoration and familiarity for ageing populations but not for younger age groups. Ottosson and Grahn [52] found in their experiment that older adults have a greater need for familiar surroundings. Familiar environments can enhance feelings of safety and comfort and thereby promote the restoration process [52,55]. Furthermore, Roe and Roe [17] propose that fascination for older adults is not determined by the "newness" of an environment but by experiencing the familiar in a new way. However, a balance must be established between familiar and new elements [52], complementing the feature fascination. Too many new things can create feelings of insecurity, negatively influencing the restoration process, and too many familiar things can undermine the factor fascination and cause understimulation [52,55]. Older adults are more sensitive to this balance than younger people, and this needs to be consid-

ered when further studying feature familiarity and its effect on older adults' restoration processes.

In conclusion, when elucidating the general restoration theory framework in the context of ageing populations, it becomes evident that adjustments and enhancements are needed to adapt the general restorative theory framework to better suit the needs of ageing populations. Based on the reviewed literature, we suggest prioritising safety and compatibility alongside promoting fascination because they hold greater significance in older adults' restoration processes compared with other elements outlined in the general framework. Moreover, we propose to expand the framework with the features of being with and familiarity; adding these features could improve the framework's applicability to ageing populations. Although more research is needed, these results must be considered when using the restorative theory framework to develop older adults' restorative environments, which can improve older adults' mental health and support ageing-in-place.

4. Discussion

The results presented here provide insight into the degree to which the current overarching framework of restorative theory contributes to the understanding of older adults' psychological restoration process. The inclusion of only thirty-nine studies in this scoping review may seem relatively modest, especially considering that we cast a wide net in terms of the time period and did not select on methodological approaches. When analysing the publication dates, it becomes clear that the topic of psychological restoration among older adults has only recently gained increased attention, and interest in this research area is gradually gaining momentum. It is evident that the research field is in a state of continuous development, as demonstrated by the wide range of literature and methods employed to assess restoration. Furthermore, while attention tests and physiological measures are commonly employed, their suitability for measuring restoration in older populations is subject to debate. For instance, the measurement of heart rate variability presents challenges due to distinct patterns observed in older adults compared with their younger counterparts [79]. Moreover, the study of Cassarino and colleagues [62] found that older adults consistently exhibit lower performance on attention tasks than younger participants, thereby influencing restoration outcome levels. Consequently, we recommend that future studies adopt a mixed-method approach, integrating physiological and psychological measures with participants' verbal accounts [29]. In a developing research area, the application of diverse methods can offer a range of valuable insights. Nonetheless, in order to improve the comparability of results, it is advisable to consider standardising methods in further studies, particularly in the context of studying psychological restoration in the older population (Table 4).

Another notable methodological issue in the reviewed studies is the homogeneous participant groups commonly employed. Most studies tend to treat older adults as a monolithic entity despite the evident diversity within ageing populations. In reality, older populations encompass a broad spectrum of characteristics and experiences, making it crucial to delve deeper into these variations and how they influence the restoration process. By gaining a nuanced understanding of these distinctions, we can design restorative environments that suit this target group's different needs and capabilities [75]. To date, it is, for example, unclear if the restoration mechanism works similarly for older adults with cognitive impairments, such as dementia, or those facing physical constraints [57]. Additionally, factors like socioeconomic status, living situation or cultural differences could also be interesting for future research. Only three studies in the review touched on these topics, and while no significant results have emerged thus far, there are indications that these factors could potentially impact the restoration process [67,73]. Therefore, it is imperative to delve deeper into these individual differences and their effects on the restoration process. This knowledge would enable us to provide valuable guidance to researchers, policymakers and designers, allowing them to create environments that can proactively anticipate and adapt to the diverse personal needs of older adults [80] (Table 4).

Table 4. Critical areas of recommendation for future research.

Method	Standardisation on psychological restoration measures for older adults.
	Research the compatibility of physiological measures and attention tests on older populations.
Individual and generational differences	More research is needed with a variety of older participants, such as older old individuals, people with cognitive disabilities or different cultural backgrounds.
Features of person-environment transaction	Further research on the permitting and promoting features proposed in the general theory and how they are applicable to older populations.
	Investigation of additional features (e.g., being with and familiarity) and their influence on the psychological restoration process for older adults.
Type of environment	Research the restorative potential of accessible environments close to older adults' homes (for example, neighbourhood open spaces).

As mentioned before, this paper set out to elucidate the current restorative theory framework and expand it with two additional features to better suit the restoration process of the older population. The literature showed that the features of person–environment transactions that permit restoration are of extra importance for the restoration processes of older adults, especially the features **absence of threat** and **compatibility**. This is connected to older adults changing capabilities related to the ageing process that increase the chance of a person–environment misfit due to a lack of compatibility between the person and the environment [17,22]. Constantly adapting their behaviour and activities to fit their environment can cost much attention and provoke stress. Therefore, enhancing the compatibility between older populations and their environments can offer psychological restoration benefits [22,52,64,65]. We suggest for future research that these features should be closely monitored, as they can influence the restorative experiences of older adults. Furthermore, similarities could be examined between the restoration process and the person–environment fit model of Lawton [7,81,82], where comparable person–environment transactions are important in the design of environments for older adults. Knowledge from this model may contribute to developing theories for the psychological restoration process for older populations.

Furthermore, our comprehensive literature review shows the significance of **fascination** as an important feature in promoting restoration among ageing populations. Fascinating elements can encourage curiosity and exploration of the living environment. However, a balance between fascinating elements is crucial to the older population. Overstimulation, feelings of unsafety and discomfort can have a negative effect on the restoration processes of older adults [52,55]. Considering this, we suggest the inclusion of the feature of **familiarity** in the general restoration theory framework for older populations (e.g., [63,72]). Familiar environments can lead to a sense of safety and comfort, and experiencing familiar environments in a new way can still encourage curiosity and exploration, promoting the restoration process [17,52,55]. However, it should be noted that the precise impact of the feature familiarity on older adults' restoration processes needs further research. Theories about lifespan developmental approaches can potentially help with further developing the restorative theory framework [83,84]. These developmental theories explore how earlier life experiences shape people's lives and reactions as they age. Such theories could potentially elucidate the importance of factors like "familiarity" and shed light on differences in the significance of other elements, such as "being away".

Lastly, we propose the inclusion of the "being with" feature within the general restorative theory framework. Older adults experiencing stress or attention deficits often tend

to isolate themselves, making them more susceptible to stress and initiating a downward spiral that negatively impacts their mental health [23]. Being with people could be essential to reduce loneliness and promote restoration for older populations [17,75]. However, too many (unknown) people can negatively influence the restoration process [56,65]. Therefore, future research should delve deeper into the impact of other people's presence on the restoration process among older adults (Table 4). Theories about social engagement can potentially give insights into lifespan differences in the social needs of older populations compared with younger groups and could potentially expand the current restoration theory [84,85].

In conclusion, the results of our comprehensive literature review show that not all features of the general restorative theory framework are equally important for older populations. Safety, compatibility and fascination emerge as particularly important for this target group. Furthermore, based on the literature analysis, we propose the inclusion of two additional features to expand the framework: "familiarity" and "being with". These factors could prove to be crucial determinants in the restoration process of older adults. These findings must be included in future research studies and when developing restorative environments for older populations. By putting more emphasis on these features (safety, compatibility, fascination, familiarity and being with) when developing restorative environments, environments can be created that match older adults' needs and capabilities regarding restoring resources. Such an approach not only benefits their mental health but also supports the concept of ageing-in-place.

Strengths and Limitations of the Study

The scoping review methodology exhibits a notable strength in its ability to provide a comprehensive overview of the literature. This makes it particularly well-suited for synthesising research from diverse fields with varying research methods but centred on a common theme [38]. To bolster the credibility of this interdisciplinary study, an extensive search strategy was deployed across multiple databases without imposing date restrictions, and the study identification and selection process underwent a rigorous double review.

As previously highlighted, this review delves into a relatively underexplored area within the literature pertaining to the restorative pathway. Specifically, it addresses the restoration process among older adults, a demographic that has not received extensive research attention. Only thirty-nine studies were identified that examined the restoration process in the context of older populations. Future research should place increased emphasis on this demographic, particularly because disparities in the effectiveness of the theoretical framework were discerned between older adults and younger age groups. Furthermore, when older adults were included in studies, they predominantly comprised healthy individuals from developed nations. Future research endeavours could benefit from a more nuanced examination of individual differences and capabilities within this demographic. From the existing literature, it remains inconclusive whether the factors suggested to enhance the restoration process for older adults are similarly effective for other subgroups of older individuals, such as those dealing with dementia or physical health issues. Consequently, further research is essential to assess the generalizability of the results.

Moreover, it is worth acknowledging that this literature search was conducted exclusively in English, potentially resulting in the omission of evidence from developing countries. Another aspect for consideration is that, due to the scoping review method, no selection was made based on the research methods employed. Consequently, significant methodological variations are evident among the included studies. To enhance the comparability of findings in future research, a standardisation of methods could prove beneficial in the exploration of psychological restoration among older populations.

Lastly, it is vital to recognise that no limitations were imposed on the types of environments investigated. Nevertheless, it is noteworthy that a majority of the studies were conducted in environments that might pose challenges for older adults to access, such as

forests and nature parks [10]. To design restorative spaces conducive to enhancing the mental well-being of older adults and supporting ageing-in-place, future studies could explore the restorative characteristics of (semi) public spaces in proximity to the residences of older individuals [20,33,35]. Furthermore, it has become evident that not all restorative factors hold equal significance in every environmental setting. Research by Scopelliti and Giuliani [64] revealed that compatibility and fascination were pivotal in coastal environments, while for urban parks, compatibility and being away were of greater importance to older populations. Consequently, it is conceivable that restoration may manifest through distinct processes in different settings, with varying restorative features playing pivotal roles [64]. Consequently, future research should pay heed to alterations in the restoration process owing to differing individual needs and capabilities, including those stemming from ageing, and align these with the type and design of restorative environments to optimise psychological restoration processes for older populations.

In summary, our understanding of older adults' psychological restoration processes is steadily expanding, although further research remains imperative. Preliminary findings indicate the necessity for adaptations to the general theoretical framework underpinning restorative environments when considering older populations. This accumulating knowledge can be harnessed to inform the development of restorative environments that promote the mental well-being of older adults and facilitate ageing-in-place.

5. Conclusions

This scoping review encompasses a wide range of studies, aiming to provide a comprehensive and detailed overview of the existing knowledge regarding ageing populations' restoration processes. Upon thorough analysis of the literature, it becomes evident that adjustments and enhancements are needed to adapt the general restorative theory framework to suit the needs of ageing populations better. Based on the reviewed literature, we suggest prioritising safety and compatibility alongside promoting fascination because they hold greater significance in older adults' restoration processes compared with other elements outlined in the general framework. Moreover, we propose to expand the framework to include concepts like "being with" and "familiarity" to better align with the psychological restoration processes of older populations. These findings should be taken into account when designing restorative environments tailored to older adults. However, it is crucial to acknowledge that research on the psychological restoration of older adults is still in its developmental stages. Studies are dispersed across various disciplines, and there are ongoing discussions about methodological issues. Further research is imperative to fine-tune the general framework to older populations, especially considering the rapidly growing ageing demographic and its impact on healthcare systems and societies.

In conclusion, we anticipate that the insights furnished by this review will offer valuable support to researchers, policymakers and designers as they strive to create age-inclusive neighbourhoods that align with the capabilities and requirements of older adults, including their need for psychological restoration. The aim is to design environments that not only appeal to older adults but also enable them to restore their mental resources. This awareness campaign underscores the profound influence of our living environments on our mental well-being, highlighting the potential health risks posed by stress and attention fatigue in ageing populations, which can hinder the feasibility of ageing-in-place. The insights garnered from this review can serve as a guiding framework to promote the mental health of older individuals and foster healthy ageing-in-place.

Author Contributions: A.J.J.G., conceptualisation, methodology, formal analysis, visualisation, investigation and writing—original draft; L.N., conceptualisation, methodology, writing—review and editing and supervision; M.M., conceptualisation, writing—review and editing and supervision. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was supported by the housing association Wooninc. and the care organisation Oktober, located in The Netherlands.

Institutional Review Board Statement: This study was conducted with the permission of the Ethical Review Board of the Eindhoven University of Technology, reference number ERB2022BE3. No humans or animals were involved in the study.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: No new data were created in this literature review. Only existing sources were used for analyses; see references. Data sharing does not apply to this article.

Acknowledgments: We sincerely thank the reviewers for their thorough review of our manuscript and for the excellent suggestions that we received.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest. The funders had no role in the study's design, in the collection, analyses or interpretation of data, in the writing of the manuscript or in the decision to publish the results.

Appendix A

Table A1. Data charting table. Abbreviations: PRS = Perceived Restoration Scale; PRSS = Perceived Restorativeness Soundscape Scale; ROS = Restoration Outcome Scale; RCS = Restorative Components Scale; SART = Sustained Attention Response Task; ANT-test = Attention Restoration Improvement Test; RAA = Restorative Activities Assessment; POMS = Profile of Mood states; PANAS = Positive and Negative Affect scales; BMI = Body Mass Index.

Author	Country	Research Type and Theory	Method	Measures (Psychological Restoration Measures and Other Measures)	Participant Number and Age	Other Sample Characteristics	Type of Environment	Factors That Permit Restoration for Older Adults	Factors That Promote Restoration for Older Adults	Notes
Berto (2007) [74]	Italy	Empirical study Quantitative ART	Lab study Photo evaluation Questionnaire	Italian PRS <i>Preference</i> <i>Familiarity</i>	N = 50 Aged between 62–93 years M = 80 years	All living in a place for older adults. Half live in a natural setting, and half in an urban setting.	Nature and urban environments (Housing, industrial zone, city streets, hills, lakes)	Compatibility	Deflected vista Familiarity	Experimental study comparing different age groups.
Boffi, Pola, Fumagalli, et al. (2021) [70]	Italy	Empirical study Qualitative ART	Lab study Focus groups	Study 1 Descriptions of restorative environments	N = 23 Aged between 65–84 years	More female	Nature environment (Urban community shared garden)	Compatibility Being-away	Fascination	Nature experiences enhance active ageing. Interdisciplinary approach to codesign community gardens
				Study 2 Analyses descriptions of restorative experiences	N = 25 Aged between 65–84 years	More female				
Boffi, Grazia Pola, Fermani, et al. (2022) [34]	Italy	Empirical study Quantitative ART	In situ study Questionnaire	Italian PRS <i>Self-assessment</i> <i>Manikin</i> <i>Activity list</i>	N = 81 Aged 60+ (Compared within larger group N = 321)		Nature environment (Urban community shared garden)		Compatibility	Post occupancy evaluation of virtual restorative garden with different age groups
Cassarino, Tuohy, Setti (2019) [62]	Ireland	Empirical study Quantitative ART	Lab study Photo evaluation Attention tasks Questionnaire	Short PRS SART	N = 75 Aged between 60–95 years	More males. Healthy individuals. No cognitive deficits. Half live in a natural setting, and half in an urban setting. A total of 82% reported easy access to green space in their neighbourhood.	Nature and urban environments (Various images are taken from the internet with no people and no water.)		Familiarity	Experimental study measuring attention restoration of older adults while viewing different scenes.

Table A1. Cont.

Author	Country	Research Type and Theory	Method	Measures (Psychological Restoration Measures and Other Measures)	Participant Number and Age	Other Sample Characteristics	Type of Environment	Factors That Permit Restoration for Older Adults	Factors That Promote Restoration for Older Adults	Notes
Chan, Qiu, Esposito, et al. (2021) [86]	Singapore	Empirical study Quantitative SRT	Lab study Virtual reality Physiological measures Questionnaire	Cardiovascular activity ECG Self-reported stress Positive affect <i>Nature connectedness</i>	N = 26 M = 72.7 years		Nature and urban environment (Virtual reality forest and streets)		Deflected vista	Viewing nature scenes in VR can reduce stress in young and older adults.
Chen, Yuan (2020) [75]	China	Empirical study Quantitative SRT	Lab study Photo evaluation Questionnaire	Self-reported stress <i>Mental health (SF-36)</i> <i>Blue space scale</i> <i>Air quality index</i> <i>Physical activity</i> <i>Social contact</i> <i>SES</i>	N = 966 M = 69 years	Male/female was equally divided. Low education levels. Most were married. Most of them retired. Half were local residents.	Nature environment (Blue spaces in the city)	Social context		Study if blue space in the neighbourhood affects older adults' mental health, a case study.
Elsadek, Shao, Liu (2021) [87]	China	Empirical study Quantitative SRT	Lab study Photo evaluation Physiological measures Brain activity (EEG)	Heart rate variability Skin conductance Alpha waves <i>Mood scales</i>	N = 29 M = 82.9 years		Nature and urban environment (Bamboo forest and urban scenes)			Experimental study if nature images impact older adults' brain activity and autonomic nervous system.
Finlay, Franke, McKay, et al. (2015) [55]	Canada	Empirical study Qualitative ART	In situ study Walk and Talk interviews	Analyses descriptions of restorative experiences using 4 ART components <i>Interpretation and interaction with the local neighbourhood context</i> <i>Emotional responses</i>	N = 27 Aged between 65–86 years	Leaving their home at least once a week. Able to walk 10 m (with mobility aid). No sig. memory problems. Eight different self-identified racial and ethnic groups: Caucasian, aboriginal, Chinese, Southeast Asian, Japanese, Filipino, Dutch, and German.	Nature and urban environment (Participants could indicate restorative spaces in their neighbourhood)	Safety Being away Compatibility Social context	Ground Cover Water Familiarity Compatibility	Qualitative study—Talking with older adults about how blue and green spaces impact their well-being.
Fumagalli, Fermani, Senes, et al. (2020) [22]	Italy	Literature review Codesign Mixed-method ART	Lab study and real life (not in situ) Focus groups	Analyses descriptions of restorative experiences using 4 ART components	N = 23 Aged between 65–84 years	All participants were from the same neighbourhood.	Nature environment (Urban community shared garden)	Being-away Compatibility Social context	Deflected vista Water Extent (coherence) Fascination Compatibility	Co-design together with older adults a restorative garden, a case study.

Table A1. Cont.

Author	Country	Research Type and Theory	Method	Measures (Psychological Restoration Measures and Other Measures)	Participant Number and Age	Other Sample Characteristics	Type of Environment	Factors That Permit Restoration for Older Adults	Factors That Promote Restoration for Older Adults	Notes
Gamble, Howard, Howard (2014) [88]	United States	Empirical study Quantitative ART	Lab study Photo evaluation Attention tests Questionnaire	ANT test Backward digit span PANAS	N = 30 Aged between 64–79 (Compared with young university students aged between 18–25 (N = 26))	All-in good health. Mini-Mental State Examination was good.	Nature and urban environment (Nature scenery of Nova Scotia, Ann Arbor, Detroit and Chicago)			Experimental study if viewing nature images improves attention in older adults.
Hawkins, Thirlaway, Backx, et al. (2011) [67]	United Kingdom	Empirical study Quantitative SRT	In situ study Physiological measures Questionnaire	Systolic and diastolic blood pressure Perceived stress Lung function Body mass BMI Self-rated health Physical activity level Social activity level Perceived social support	N = 94 Aged between 50–88 years	Members of various indoor and outdoor activity groups. All were gardeners at private or allotment gardens.	Nature environment (Community activity group garden)	Being away Social context		Study if allotment gardening can reduce stress for older adults.
Husser, Roberto, Allen (2020) [26]	United States	Empirical study Mixed method ART	Real-life study (not in situ) Interviews	Analyses of descriptions of interview data using the four ART characteristics Physical measures Health and coping Social support network Quality of Life	N = 34 Aged between 71–91 years	All women lived in a small mountainous community. More than half lived there for over 50 years. Half lived alone. 24% lived below the poverty line.	Nature environment (Rural area)	Being away Compatibility Social context	Extent Fascination	Analysing interviews with rural living older woman and what influence nature has on their lives. Using grounded theory techniques. A connection is made between nature and coping with ageing challenges.
Jang, Jeong, Kim, et al. (2020) [73]	Korea	Empirical study Quantitative ART	In situ study Questionnaire	Korean PRS PANAS Plant cultivation activity levels Satisfaction Loyalty	N = 65 Aged 60+ (Compared within larger group N = 285)	Male/female was equally divided. Educated. Middle incomes.	Nature environment (Shared healing garden)		Fascination	Experimental study viewing images of healing gardens and testing if different age groups experienced attention restoration. Focus of the study on component fascination.

Table A1. Cont.

Author	Country	Research Type and Theory	Method	Measures (Psychological Restoration Measures and Other Measures)	Participant Number and Age	Other Sample Characteristics	Type of Environment	Factors That Permit Restoration for Older Adults	Factors That Promote Restoration for Older Adults	Notes
Jansen (2008) [76]	United States	Empirical study Quantitative ART	Real-life study (not in situ) Questionnaire Activity assessment	RAA Attention function index <i>Geriatric depression scale</i> <i>Self-rated health</i>	N = 54 Aged between 65–87 years	Living independently in the community. Most lived in a single home. Half lived alone. Half is married.	Nature and urban environments (Participants could suggest their own restorative environments)	Social context		Gathering an overview of restorative activities for community-dwelling older adults. Follow-up study.
Jansen (2005) [56]	United States	Empirical study Qualitative ART	Real-life study (not in situ) Interviews	Analyses of descriptions of interview data Identify barriers to restorative activities	N = 30 Aged between 65–92 years.	Community dwelling. Half married. A total of 77% lived alone. Average education 13,2 years. A total of 77% rated good/excellent health.	Nature and urban environments (Participants could suggest their own restorative environments)	Safety Being-away Social context		Gathering an overview of community-dwelling older adults' barriers to participating in restorative activities.
Jansen, von Sadovszky (2004) [58]	United States	Empirical study Qualitative ART	Real-life study (not in situ) Interviews	Analyses of descriptions of restorative experiences	N = 30 Aged between 65–92 years	Mostly women. Community-dwelling. Half married. A total of 77% lived alone. Average education 13,2 years. A total of 77% rated good/excellent health.	Nature and urban environments (Participants could suggest their own restorative environments)	Safety Being away Compatibility Social context	Extent Fascination Compatibility	Gathering an overview of restorative activities for community-dwelling older adults.
Jarosz (2022) [28]	Poland	Empirical study Qualitative SRT	Lab study Experience Sampling Method (telephone interviews)	Self-reported stress <i>Self-reported Enjoyment</i>	N = 200 Aged 65+ years	Non-institutionalised	Nature and urban environment		Presence of water	The study used a new method—experience sampling method—to collect user experiences. Results show that people in green or blue spaces had more enjoyment and less stress.

Table A1. Cont.

Author	Country	Research Type and Theory	Method	Measures (Psychological Restoration Measures and Other Measures)	Participant Number and Age	Other Sample Characteristics	Type of Environment	Factors That Permit Restoration for Older Adults	Factors That Promote Restoration for Older Adults	Notes
Kabisch, Püffel, Masztalerz, et al. (2021) [27]	Germany	Empirical study Quantitative ART and SRT	In situ study Questionnaire Physiological measures	Heart rate variability ECG Blood pressure ROS POMS Green space visitation pattern Familiarity Medication BMI Short health survey Self-perceived health Perception of naturalness	N = 33 Aged between 55–70 years M = 63.5 years	Male/female was equally divided. Non-smokers with a healthy heart. Could walk for 30 min. Are regularly active.	Nature environment (Urban park)	Being away Social context		Field experiment if older adults experience physiological and psychological effects after visiting inner city areas.
Li, Liu, Yang, et al. (2021) [60]	China	Empirical study Quantitative ART and SRT	In situ study Questionnaire Physiological measures	Blood pressure Heart rate PRS ROS PANAS POMS Illumination Temperature Noise Air Quality Index	N = 45 Aged between 40–71 years	Mostly women. In good health. Absence of severe cardiovascular disease and cognitive impairment. No problems walking	Nature and urban environment (Urban parks, city streets, shops, high-rise buildings)	Safety Social context		Experimental study to test if night-time walking can offer restoration to older adults.
Li, Zhai, Xiao, et al. (2019) [69]	China	Empirical study Quantitative SRT	In situ study Questionnaire Movement data	Self-rated stress levels Affect GPS measures Pedometer data	N = 200 Aged 60+ years	Gender was equally divided. No difficulty walking. Mostly in good health. A total of 75% were married. Half fell in the middle-income category. A total of 65% of participants visited the park almost every day.	Nature environment (Urban park)	Compatibility	Fascination Compatibility	A study collecting self-reported psychological benefits among older adults before and after park visits.

Table A1. Cont.

Author	Country	Research Type and Theory	Method	Measures (Psychological Restoration Measures and Other Measures)	Participant Number and Age	Other Sample Characteristics	Type of Environment	Factors That Permit Restoration for Older Adults	Factors That Promote Restoration for Older Adults	Notes
Liao, Ou, Heng Hsieh, et al. (2020) [57]	United States	Empirical Study Quantitative ART and SRT	Real-life study (not in situ) Questionnaire	(self) rated attention abilities (self) rated stress <i>Mini-Mental State Exam</i> <i>ADL</i> <i>Appetite</i> <i>Mood</i> <i>Social interaction</i>	N = 42 Care professionals filled in the questionnaires with/for 42 senior patients		Nature environment (Shared Garden of Geriatric care centre)	Safety Being away Compatibility	Extent Fascination Familiarity	Study to test the effect of garden visits on older people with dementia. Care professionals filed a questionnaire for the participants after the garden visit (indirect data collection).
Lu, Oh, Ooka, et al. (2022) [63]	Japan	Empirical study Quantitative ART	In situ study Questionnaire	Japanese ROS <i>Climate conditions</i> <i>Spatial conditions</i> (<i>sky view factor</i> , <i>green view factor</i> , <i>colour index</i> , <i>facilities</i> (toilet, <i>water</i> , <i>seats</i> , <i>pavement</i>)) <i>Vitality</i>	N = 202 Aged 60+ years	More males than females.	Nature environment (Urban park)	Safety Social context	Water	Experimental study to show which environmental features of SPUGS affect mental restoration of older adults (e.g., green, colour, sky factor).
Marques, McIntosh, Kershaw (2019) [66]	(Not specified)	Literature Review Medical report analyses Mixed-Method ART and SRT					Nature and urban environment	Safety	Ground cover Familiarity	Review on design elements in therapeutic environments that can offer psychological restoration to older adults.
Moore (2007) [61]	United States	Descriptive study Qualitative ART and SRT	In situ study Environmental analyses	Spatial analyses of dementia gardens on the four ART characteristics			Nature environment (Shared therapeutic dementia garden)	Safety Being away Compatibility Social context	Ground cover Water Extent Fascination Compatibility	Exploration of how the design of a restorative garden can support older people with dementia by reducing attention fatigue
Neale, Aspinall, Roe, et al. (2020) [72]	United Kingdom	Empirical study Quantitative ART and SRT	In situ study Brain activity (EEG)	Alpha waves (relaxation) Beta waves (attention)	N = 95 Aged between 65–92 years	Healthy adults were able to walk unassisted for at least 15 min. No cognitive deficits (MMSE scores).	Nature and urban environment (Urban green space, quiet urban area, busy street)		Fascination Familiarity	Experimental study to look at brain activity in older people while walking in urban environments.

Table A1. Cont.

Author	Country	Research Type and Theory	Method	Measures (Psychological Restoration Measures and Other Measures)	Participant Number and Age	Other Sample Characteristics	Type of Environment	Factors That Permit Restoration for Older Adults	Factors That Promote Restoration for Older Adults	Notes
Ottosson, Grahn (2005) [52]	Sweden	Empirical study Quantitative ART and SRT	In situ study Attention tests Physiological measures Questionnaire	Necker cube pattern control test Digit span forward Digit span backwards Symbol digit modalities test Blood pressure Heart rate Pulse rate <i>Preference</i>	N = 15 M = 85 years	Mostly women. Four are in a wheelchair. All need care. Living in a care home.	Nature environment (Shared garden of Geriatric care centre)	Safety Compatibility Social context	Deflected vista Fascination Familiarity	Experimental study to measure restoration in care home residents.
Ottosson, Grahn (2006) [51]	Sweden	Empirical study Mixed-method ART and SRT	In situ study Attention tests Physiological measures Questionnaire	Necker cube pattern control test Digit span forward Digit span backwards Symbol digit modalities test Blood pressure Pulse rate <i>Degree to which they felt at home Social climate Activities Mental energy Physical condition</i>	N = 15 Age between 67–97 years	Almost all females. Four were in a wheelchair.	Urban environment (Retirement home)	Safety Social context		Experimental study to measure restoration in care home residents.
Qiu, Chen, Gao (2021) [59]	China	Empirical study Quantitative ART	Lab study Photo evaluation Questionnaire	PRS <i>Perceived Sensory Dimensions (PSD) Preferences</i>	N = 300 Aged 60+ years M = 69 years	Male/female was equally divided. No cognitive or communication difficulties.	Nature environment (Botanical garden)	Safety Being away Compatibility Social context	Complexity Ground cover Water Extent (coherence) Fascination Compatibility	Study to measure restoration of older adults when viewing nature images

Table A1. Cont.

Author	Country	Research Type and Theory	Method	Measures (Psychological Restoration Measures and Other Measures)	Participant Number and Age	Other Sample Characteristics	Type of Environment	Factors That Permit Restoration for Older Adults	Factors That Promote Restoration for Older Adults	Notes
Roe, Roe (2018) [17]	(Not specified)	Literature review Qualitative ART and SRT					Nature and urban environment (Home environment, garden, park, street, outdoor gym, water setting, adventurous environment, farmers market, dementia care centre)	Being away Compatibility Social context	Deflected vista Extent Fascination	Book chapter/review about restorative environments for older adults and how they promote activity
Rosenbaum, Sweeney, Windhorst (2009) [53]	United States	Empirical study Quantitative ART	In situ study Questionnaire	Short PRS Place attachment Social support (SSQT) Activities Perceived health status Patronising	N = 90 Age between 60–89 years M = 70 years	Almost all were female participants. Half were married.	Urban environment (Matter’s More Than a Café (MMC))	Being away Social context	Fascination	Study to get to know if senior café can offer restoration to older adults. Primarily focusing on social context.
Rosenbaum, Sweeney, Massiah (2014) [54]	Australia	Empirical study Mixed-method ART	Study 1 In situ study Interview Study 2 In situ study Questionnaire	Analyses of descriptions of interview data using the four ART characteristics. Short PRS Fatigue (IFS instrument) Quality of Life Mood Attitude Physical strength Mental strength Customer behaviour	N = 11 Age between 70–92 years N = 85 Age between 60–92 years	Male/female was equally divided. Between 2–18 years visitors of the centre. 60% female.	Urban environment (Senior Centre)	Safety Being away Compatibility Social context	Extent Fascination Compatibility	Study to analyse the restorative potential of senior activity centres—follow-up study.

Table A1. Cont.

Author	Country	Research Type and Theory	Method	Measures (Psychological Restoration Measures and Other Measures)	Participant Number and Age	Other Sample Characteristics	Type of Environment	Factors That Permit Restoration for Older Adults	Factors That Promote Restoration for Older Adults	Notes
Scopelliti, Giuliani (2004) [65]	Italy	Empirical study Mixed-method ART	Real-life study (not in situ) Questionnaire Interview	Questionnaire about the restorative experience (relaxing and exciting) Semi structured interviews about why they would feel restored in a particular situation <i>Moment of restorative experience: weekday, weekend, vacation</i>	N = 22 M = 68.4 years (Compared with larger group N = 67)	Males/females were equally divided.	Nature and urban environments (Participants could suggest their own restorative environments)	Being away Compatibility Social context	Extent Fascination Compatibility	One of the first restorative environment studies with older adults. Studying which restorative places people choose across their lifespan.
Scopelliti, Giuliani (2006) [64]	Italy	Empirical study Mixed-method ART	Study 1 Real-life study (not in situ) Interviews Study 2 Real-life study (not in situ) Questionnaire	Analyses of descriptions of restorative experiences Italian PRS	N = 48 Aged between 60–85 years M = 70.54 years N = 192 Aged between 63–78 years M = 68.23 years	Living in an urban setting. Male/female was equally divided. Male/female was equally divided. Good health. No physical or cognitive impairments. Almost all participants were married.	Nature and urban environments (Participants could suggest their own restorative environments)	Safety Being away Compatibility Social context	Deflected vista Water Extent (coherence and scope) Fascination Familiarity Compatibility	Analyses of restorative experiences of older adults. Follow-up study.
Tang, Brown (2006) [89]	Canada	Empirical study Quantitative SRT	In situ study Physiological measures	Blood pressure Heart rate <i>POMS</i>	N = 5 Aged between 77–89 years	All females. Lived in a retirement centre. All completed high school. Caucasian.	Nature and urban environment (View from a window of the retirement home to build environment OR natural landscape)			Quasi-experiment to study the effect of viewing nature landscapes on mental health of older women.
Travis, McAuley (1998) [50]	United States	Empirical study Qualitative ART	Real-life study (not in situ) Interviews	Analyses of descriptions of restorative experiences <i>Preferences</i>	N = 8 Aged 60+ years	Admitted to care facility after hip surgery.	Nature and urban environments (Participants could suggest their own restorative environments)	Compatibility Social context		Experiment to check if restorative experience can support hip surgery rehabilitation with older adults.

Table A1. Cont.

Author	Country	Research Type and Theory	Method	Measures (Psychological Restoration Measures and Other Measures)	Participant Number and Age	Other Sample Characteristics	Type of Environment	Factors That Permit Restoration for Older Adults	Factors That Promote Restoration for Older Adults	Notes
Twedt, Rainey, Proffitt (2016) [90]	United States	Empirical study Quantitative ART	Lab study Photo evaluation Questionnaire	PRS Visual appeal Naturalness Formal/informal garden	N = 295 Aged between 18–82 years (Compared within age groups)	Mainly Caucasian. More woman. College education.	Nature environment (Formal and informal shared gardens)			The study compares formal and informal garden designs on their restorative effect.
Weber, Trojan (2018) [20]	(Not specified)	Systematic literature review Quantitative ART and SRT					Urban environments (Various e.g., street, museum)	Being away Compatibility Social context	Extent Fascination Familiarity	Literature review of studies that studied urban restorative environments.
Yu, Lee, Lu, et al. (2020) [68]	Taiwan	Empirical study Mixed-method ART and SRT	Lab study Virtual reality Physiological measures Attention tasks Questionnaire	Heart rate Systolic and diastolic blood pressure Activity level of (para)sympathetic nervous system RCS SART POMS Descriptions of VR-experience	N = 9 Aged 65+ years (Compared within larger sample N = 34)	Most female. Healthy participants with no neuropsychiatric disorders, cardiovascular diseases, or cognition disorders of specific visual and hearing problems.	Nature and urban environments (Nature reserve park, busy urban streets)			Experimental study measures restorative effects on older adults while viewing virtual nature. Compare older and middle-aged adults.
Zhao, Li, Zhu, Ge (2020) [91]	China	Empirical study Quantitative ART	In situ study Questionnaire	PRSS Stress level (in last month) Comfort of soundscape Intensity of soundscape Preference of soundscape	N = 29 Aged 60+ years (Compared within larger sample N = 240)	Male/female evenly distributed.	Nature environment (Urban park)			Studying the effect of birdsong soundscape on the restorative potential of urban parks.

References

1. WHO. Ageing and Health. Available online: <https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/ageing-and-health> (accessed on 12 July 2023).
2. van Hees, S. The Making of Ageing-in-Place Perspectives on a Dutch Social Policy. Ph.D. Thesis, University of Maastricht, Maastricht, The Netherlands, 2017.
3. van Helder, L.; Bos, W.; Bleijenberg, N.; van Eijck, J.; de Jager, H.; Klomp, M.; de Langen, M.; Minkman, M.; Pieterse, T.; van Rixtel, M.; et al. *Oud En Zelfstandig in 2030 Een Reisadvies*; De Rijksverheid: Den Haag, The Netherlands, 2020.
4. WHO. *Global Strategy and Action Plan on Ageing and Health*; WHO: Geneva, Switzerland, 2017.
5. Cutchin, M.P. The Process of Mediated Aging-in-Place; a Theoretically Empirically Based Model. *Soc. Sci. Med.* **2003**, *57*, 1077–1090. [[CrossRef](#)]
6. Iwarsson, S.; Stahl, A. Accessibility, Usability and Universal Design-Positioning and Definition of Concepts Describing Person-Environment Relationships. *Disabil. Rehabil.* **2003**, *25*, 57–66. [[CrossRef](#)]
7. Wahl, H.-W.; Oswald, F. Environmental Perspectives on Aging. In *The Sage Handbook of Social Gerontology*; Dannefer, D., Phillipson, C., Eds.; Sage Publication Ltd.: Thousand Oaks, CA, USA, 2010; pp. 111–124.
8. Yasamy, M.T.; Dua, T.; Harper, M.; Saxena, S. *Mental Health of Older Adults, Addressing a Growing Concern*; Academia: Lausanne, Switzerland, 2013.
9. PAHO/WHO. Seniors and Mental Health. Available online: https://www.paho.org/hq/index.php?option=com_content&view=article&id=9877:seniors-mental-health&Itemid=40721&lang=en (accessed on 19 April 2021).
10. Garin, N.; Olaya, B.; Miret, M.; Ayuso-Mateos, J.L.; Power, M.; Bucciarelli, P.; Haro, J.M. Built Environment and Elderly Population Health: A Comprehensive Literature Review. *Clin. Pract. Epidemiol. Ment. Health* **2014**, *10*, 103–115. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
11. Evans, G.W. The Built Environment and Mental Health. *J. Urban Health* **2003**, *80*, 536–555. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
12. Markevych, I.; Schoierer, J.; Hartig, T.; Chudnovsky, A.; Hystad, P.; Dzhambov, A.M.; de Vries, S.; Triguero-Mas, M.; Brauer, M.; Nieuwenhuijsen, M.J.; et al. Exploring Pathways Linking Greenspace to Health: Theoretical and Methodological Guidance. *Environ. Res.* **2017**, *158*, 301–317. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
13. Hartig, T.; Mitchell, R.; De Vries, S.; Frumkin, H. Nature and Health. *Annu. Rev. of Public Health* **2014**, *35*, 207–228. [[CrossRef](#)]
14. Hartig, T. Restoration in Nature: Beyond the Conventional Narrative. In *Nature and Psychology. Nebraska Symposium on Motivation*; Schutte, A.R., Torquati, J.C., Stevens, J.R., Eds.; Springer Nature: Cham, Switzerland, 2021; Volume 67, pp. 89–151. ISBN 9783030690205.
15. Hartig, T. Three Steps to Understanding Restorative Environments as Health Resources. In *Open space: People space*; Ward Thompson, C., Travlou, P., Eds.; Taylor & Francis: Abingdon, UK, 2007; pp. 163–179. ISBN 9780203961827.
16. Francis, J.; Wood, L.J.; Knuiman, M.; Giles-Corti, B. Quality or Quantity? Exploring the Relationship between Public Open Space Attributes and Mental Health in Perth, Western Australia. *Soc. Sci. Med.* **2012**, *74*, 1570–1577. [[CrossRef](#)]
17. Roe, J.; Roe, A. Restorative Environments and Promoting Physical Activity Among Older People. In *The Palgrave Handbook of Ageing and Physical Activity Promotion*; Nyman, S.R., Barker, A., Haines, T., Horton, K., Musselwhite, C., Peeters, G., Victor, C.R., Wolff, J.K., Eds.; Palgrave Macmillan: Cham, Switzerland, 2018; pp. 467–483. ISBN 9783319712918.
18. Schmidt, T.; Kerr, J.; Schipperijn, J. Associations between Neighborhood Open Space Features and Walking and Social Interaction in Older Adults—a Mixed Methods Study. *Geriatrics* **2019**, *4*, 41. [[CrossRef](#)]
19. Zhu, W.; Wang, J.; Qin, B. Quantity or Quality? Exploring the Association between Public Open Space and Mental Health in Urban China. *Landsc. Urban Plan.* **2021**, *213*, 104–128. [[CrossRef](#)]
20. Weber, A.M.; Trojan, J. The Restorative Value of the Urban Environment: A Systematic Review of the Existing Literature. *Environ. Health Insights* **2018**, *12*, 1178630218812805. [[CrossRef](#)]
21. Jansen, D.A. Attentional Demands and Restorative Activities: Do They Influence Directed Attention among the Elderly. Ph.D. Thesis, University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI, USA, 1997.
22. Fumagalli, N.; Fermani, E.; Senes, G.; Boffi, M.; Pola, L.; Inghilleri, P. Sustainable Co-Design with Older People: The Case of a Public Restorative Garden in Milan (Italy). *Sustainability* **2020**, *12*, 3166. [[CrossRef](#)]
23. Moore, R.C.; Straus, E.; Campbell, L.M. Stress, Mental Health, and Aging. In *Handbook of Mental Health and Aging*; Hantke, N., Etkin, A., O’Hara, R., Eds.; Academic Press: Cambridge, MA, USA, 2020; pp. 37–58. ISBN 9780128001363.
24. Fleury-Bahi, G.; Pol, E.; Navarro, O. *Handbook of Environmental Psychology and Quality of Life*; Springer International Publishing: Cham, Switzerland, 2017.
25. Collado, S.; Staats, H.; Corraliza, J.A.; Hartig, T. Restorative Environments and Health. In *Handbook of Environmental Psychology and Quality of Life Research*; Enric, G.F., Oscar, P., Eds.; Springer: Cham, Switzerland, 2017; p. 574. ISBN 9783319314143.
26. Husser, E.K.; Roberto, K.A.; Allen, K.R. Nature as Nurture: Rural Older Women’s Perspectives on the Natural Environment. *J. Women Aging* **2020**, *32*, 44–67. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
27. Kabisch, N.; Püffel, C.; Masztalerz, O.; Hemmerling, J.; Kraemer, R. Physiological and Psychological Effects of Visits to Different Urban Green and Street Environments in Older People: A Field Experiment in a Dense Inner-City Area. *Landsc. Urban Plan.* **2021**, *207*, 103998. [[CrossRef](#)]
28. Jarosz, E. Direct Exposure to Green and Blue Spaces Is Associated with Greater Mental Wellbeing in Older Adults. *J. Aging Environ.* **2022**, 1–18. [[CrossRef](#)]

29. Bornioli, A.; Parkhurst, G.; Morgan, P.L. Psychological Wellbeing Benefits of Simulated Exposure to Five Urban Settings: An Experimental Study from the Pedestrian's Perspective. *J. Transp. Health* **2018**, *9*, 105–116. [CrossRef]
30. Deng, L.; Li, X.; Luo, H.; Fu, E.K.; Ma, J.; Sun, L.X.; Huang, Z.; Cai, S.Z.; Jia, Y. Empirical Study of Landscape Types, Landscape Elements and Landscape Components of the Urban Park Promoting Physiological and Psychological Restoration. *Urban For. Urban Green.* **2020**, *48*, 126488. [CrossRef]
31. Huang, S.; Qi, J.; Li, W.; Dong, J.; van den Bosch, C.K. The Contribution to Stress Recovery and Attention Restoration Potential of Exposure to Urban Green Spaces in Low-Density Residential Areas. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* **2021**, *18*, 8713. [CrossRef]
32. Liu, L.; Qu, H.; Ma, Y.; Wang, K.; Qu, H. Restorative Benefits of Urban Green Space: Physiological, Psychological Restoration and Eye Movement Analysis. *J. Environ. Manag.* **2022**, *301*, 113930. [CrossRef]
33. San-Juan, C.; Subiza-Pérez, M.; Vozmediano, L. Restoration and the City: The Role of Public Urban Squares. *Front. Psychol.* **2017**, *8*, 2093. [CrossRef]
34. Boffi, M.; Pola, L.G.; Fermani, E.; Senes, G.; Inghilleri, P.; Piga, B.E.A.; Stancato, G.; Fumagalli, N. Visual Post-Occupancy Evaluation of a Restorative Garden Using Virtual Reality Photography: Restoration, Emotions, and Behavior in Older and Younger People. *Front. Psychol.* **2022**, *13*, 927688. [CrossRef]
35. Bornioli, A.; Subiza-Pérez, M. Restorative Urban Environments for Healthy Cities: A Theoretical Model for the Study of Restorative Experiences in Urban Built Settings. *Landsc. Res.* **2022**, *48*, 152–163. [CrossRef]
36. Roe, J.; McCay, L. *Restorative Cities. Urban Design for Mental Health and Wellbeing*; Bloomsbury: London, UK, 2021.
37. Arksey, H.; O'Malley, L. Scoping Studies: Towards a Methodological Framework. *Int. J. Soc. Res. Methodol.* **2005**, *8*, 19–32. [CrossRef]
38. Levac, D.; Colquhoun, H.; O'Brien, K.K. Scoping Studies: Advancing the Methodology. *Implement. Sci.* **2010**, *5*, 69. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
39. Joye, Y.; Van Den Berg, A.E. Restorative Environments. In *Environmental psychology. An introduction*; Steg, L., Van der Berg, A.E., De Groot, J., Eds.; John Wiley & Sons Ltd.: Chichester, UK, 2012; pp. 55–66.
40. Kaplan, R.; Kaplan, S. *The Experience of Nature: A Psychological Perspective*; Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, UK, 1989.
41. Ulrich, R.S. Aesthetic and Affective Response to Natural Environment. In *Behavior and the Natural Environment*; Springer: New York, NY, USA, 1983; pp. 85–125.
42. Ulrich, R.S.; Simonst, R.F.; Lositot, B.D.; Fioritot, E.; Milest, M.A.; Zelsont, M. Stress Recovery during Exposure to Natural and Urban Environments. *J. Environ. Psychol.* **1991**, *11*, 201–230. [CrossRef]
43. Kaplan, S. The Restorative Benefits of Nature: Toward an Integrative Framework. *J. Environ. Psychol.* **1995**, *15*, 169–182. [CrossRef]
44. Staats, H. Restorative Environments. In *The Oxford Handbook of Environmental and Conservation Psychology*; Clayton, S.D., Ed.; Oxford University Press: Oxford, UK, 2012.
45. Tricco, A.C.; Lillie, E.; Zarin, W.; O'Brien, K.K.; Colquhoun, H.; Levac, D.; Moher, D.; Peters, M.D.J.; Horsley, T.; Weeks, L.; et al. PRISMA Extension for Scoping Reviews (PRISMA ScR): Checklist and Explanation. *Ann. Intern. Med.* **2018**, *169*, 467–473. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
46. OECD. Pensions at a Glance 2021: OECD and G20 Indicators. Available online: <https://www.oecd-ilibrary.org/sites/304a7302-en/index.html?itemId=/content/component/304a7302-en#:~:text=In%202020%2C%20the%20OECD%20average,%2C%20for%20men%20only%2C%20Israel> (accessed on 5 September 2023).
47. Page, M.J.; McKenzie, J.E.; Bossuyt, P.M.; Boutron, I.; Hoffmann, T.C.; Mulrow, C.D.; Shamseer, L.; Tetzlaff, J.M.; Akl, E.A.; Brennan, S.E.; et al. The PRISMA 2020 Statement: An Updated Guideline for Reporting Systematic Reviews. *BMJ* **2021**, 372. [CrossRef]
48. Onwuegbuzie, A.J.; Leech, N.L.; Collins, K.M.T. The Qualitative Report The Qualitative Report Qualitative Analysis Techniques for the Review of the Literature Qualitative Analysis Techniques for the Review of the Literature. *Qual. Rep.* **2012**, *17*, 7–9. [CrossRef]
49. Smit, B.; Scherman, V. Computer-Assisted Qualitative Data Analysis Software for Scoping Reviews: A Case of ATLAS.Ti. *Int. J. Qual. Methods* **2021**, *20*, 1–3. [CrossRef]
50. Travis, S.S.; McAuley, W.J. Mentally Restorative Experiences Supporting Rehabilitation of High Functioning Elders Recovering from Hip Surgery. *J. Adv. Nurs.* **1998**, *27*, 977–985. [CrossRef]
51. Ottosson, J.; Grahn, P. Measures of Restoration in Geriatric Care Residences: The Influence of Nature on Elderly People's Power of Concentration, Blood Pressure and Pulse Rate. *J. Hous. Elder.* **2006**, *19*, 227–256. [CrossRef]
52. Ottosson, J.; Grahn, P. A Comparison of Leisure Time Spent in a Garden with Leisure Time Spent Indoors: On Measures of Restoration in Residents in Geriatric Care. *Landsc. Res.* **2005**, *30*, 23–55. [CrossRef]
53. Rosenbaum, M.S. Restorative Servicescapes: Restoring Directed Attention in Third Places. *J. Serv. Manag.* **2009**, *20*, 173–191. [CrossRef]
54. Rosenbaum, M.S.; Sweeney, J.C.; Massiah, C. The Restorative Potential of Senior Centers. *Manag. Serv. Qual.* **2014**, *24*, 363–383. [CrossRef]
55. Finlay, J.; Franke, T.; McKay, H.; Sims-Gould, J. Health & Place Therapeutic Landscapes and Wellbeing in Later Life: Impacts of Blue and Green Spaces for Older Adults. *Health Place* **2015**, *34*, 97–106. [CrossRef]
56. Jansen, D.A. Perceived Barriers to Participation in Mentally Restorative Activities by Community-Dwelling Elders. *Act. Adapt. Aging* **2005**, *29*, 35–53. [CrossRef]

57. Liao, M.L.; Ou, S.J.; Heng Hsieh, C.; Li, Z.; Ko, C.C. Effects of Garden Visits on People with Dementia: A Pilot Study. *Dementia* **2020**, *19*, 1009–1028. [[CrossRef](#)]
58. Jansen, D.A.; Von Sadovszky, V. Restorative Activities of Community-Dwelling Elders. *West. J. Nurs. Res.* **2004**, *26*, 381–399. [[CrossRef](#)]
59. Qiu, L.; Chen, Q.; Gao, T. The Effects of Urban Natural Environments on Preference and Self-Reported Psychological Restoration of the Elderly. *Environ. Res. Public Health* **2021**, *18*, 509. [[CrossRef](#)]
60. Li, H.; Liu, H.; Yang, Z.; Bi, S.; Cao, Y.; Zhang, G. The Effects of Green and Urban Walking in Different Time Frames on Physio-Psychological Responses of Middle-Aged and Older People in Chengdu, China. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* **2021**, *18*, 90. [[CrossRef](#)]
61. Moore, K.D. Restorative Dementia Gardens: Exploring How Design May Ameliorate Attention Fatigue. *J. Hous. Elder.* **2007**, *21*, 73–88. [[CrossRef](#)]
62. Cassarino, M.; Tuohy, I.C.; Setti, A. Sometimes Nature Doesn't Work: Absence of Attention Restoration in Older Adults Exposed to Environmental Scenes. *Exp. Aging Res.* **2019**, *45*, 372–385. [[CrossRef](#)]
63. Lu, S.; Oh, W.; Ooka, R.; Wang, L. Effects of Environmental Features in Small Public Urban Green Spaces on Older Adults' Mental Restoration: Evidence from Tokyo. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* **2022**, *19*, 5477. [[CrossRef](#)]
64. Scopelliti, M.; Giuliani, M.V. Restorative Environments in Later Life: An Approach to Well-Being from the Perspective of Environmental Psychology. *J. Hous. Elder.* **2006**, *19*, 203–226. [[CrossRef](#)]
65. Scopelliti, M.; Giuliani, V. Choosing Restorative Environments across the Lifespan: A Matter of Place Experience. *J. Environ. Psychol.* **2004**, *24*, 423–437. [[CrossRef](#)]
66. Marques, B.; McIntosh, J.; Kershaw, C. Healing Spaces: Improving Health and Wellbeing for the Elderly through Therapeutic Landscape Design. *Int. J. Arts Humanit.* **2019**, *3*, 20–34.
67. Hawkins, J.L.; Thirlaway, K.J.; Backx, K.; Clayton, D.A. Allotment Gardening and Other Leisure Activities for Stress Reduction and Healthy Aging. *Horttechnology* **2011**, *21*, 577–585. [[CrossRef](#)]
68. Yu, C.P.; Lee, H.Y.; Lu, W.H.; Huang, Y.C.; Browning, M.H.E.M. Restorative Effects of Virtual Natural Settings on Middle-Aged and Elderly Adults. *Urban For. Urban Green.* **2020**, *56*, 126863. [[CrossRef](#)]
69. Li, D.; Zhai, Y.; Xiao, Y.; Newman, G.; Wang, D. Subtypes of Park Use and Self-Reported Psychological Benefits among Older Adults: A Multilevel Latent Class Analysis Approach. *Landsc. Urban Plan.* **2019**, *190*, 103605. [[CrossRef](#)]
70. Boffi, M.; Pola, L.; Fumagalli, N.; Fermani, E.; Senes, G.; Inghilleri, P. Nature Experiences of Older People for Active Ageing: An Interdisciplinary Approach to the Co-Design of Community Gardens. *Front. Psychol.* **2021**, *12*, 702525. [[CrossRef](#)]
71. Rosenbaum, M.S.; Sweeney, J.C.; Windhorst, C. The Restorative Qualities of an Activity-Based, Third Place Café. *Sr. Hous Care J.* **2009**, *17*, 39–54.
72. Neale, C.; Aspinall, P.; Roe, J.; Tilley, S.; Mavros, P.; Cinderby, S.; Coyne, R.; Thin, N.; Ward Thompson, C. The Impact of Walking in Different Urban Environments on Brain Activity in Older People. *Cities Health* **2020**, *4*, 94–106. [[CrossRef](#)]
73. Jang, H.S.; Jeong, S.J.; Kim, J.S.; Yoo, E. The Role of Visitor's Positive Emotions on Satisfaction and Loyalty with the Perception of Perceived Restorative Environment of Healing Garden. *J. People Plants Environ.* **2020**, *23*, 277–291. [[CrossRef](#)]
74. Berto, R. Assessing the Restorative Value of the Environment: A Study on the Elderly in Comparison with Young Adults and Adolescents. *Int. J. Psychol.* **2007**, *42*, 331–341. [[CrossRef](#)]
75. Chen, Y.; Yuan, Y. The Neighborhood Effect of Exposure to Blue Space on Elderly Individuals' Mental Health: A Case Study in Guangzhou, China. *Health Place* **2020**, *63*, 102348. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
76. Jansen, D.A. Mentally Restorative Activities and Daily Functioning Among Community-Dwelling Elders. *Act. Adapt. Aging* **2008**, *32*, 181–197. [[CrossRef](#)]
77. Kabisch, N.; van den Bosch, M.; Laforteza, R. The Health Benefits of Nature-Based Solutions to Urbanization Challenges for Children and the Elderly—A Systematic Review. *Environ. Res.* **2017**, *159*, 362–373. [[CrossRef](#)]
78. Staats, H.; Hartig, T. Alone or with a Friend: A Social Context for Psychological Restoration and Environmental Preferences. *J. Environ. Psychol.* **2004**, *24*, 199–211. [[CrossRef](#)]
79. Jandackova, V.K.; Scholes, S.; Britton, A.; Steptoe, A. Are Changes in Heart Rate Variability in Middle-Aged and Older People Normative or Caused by Pathological Conditions? Findings From a Large Population-Based Longitudinal Cohort Study. *J. Am. Heart Assoc.* **2016**, *5*, e002365. [[CrossRef](#)]
80. Moor, N.; Mohammadi, M. Grey Smart Societies: Supporting the Social Inclusion of Older Adults by Smart Spatial Design. In *Data-Driven Multivalence in the Built Environment*; Bioria, N., Ed.; Springer International Publishing: Cham, Switzerland, 2020; pp. 157–180.
81. Lawton, M.P.; Nahemow, L. Ecology and the Aging Process. In *The Psychology of Adult Development and Aging*; Eisendorfer, C., Lawton, M.P., Eds.; American Psychological Association: Washington, DC, USA, 1973; pp. 619–674.
82. Wahl, H.-W.; Oswald, F. Theories of Environmental Gerontology: Old and New Avenues for Person-Environmental Views of Aging. In *Handbook of Theories of Aging*; Springer: New York, NY, USA, 2016; pp. 621–641.
83. Berg, C.A.; Smith, T.W.; Henry, N.J.M.; Pearce, G. A Developmental Approach to Psychosocial Risk Factors in Successful Aging. In *Handbook of Health Psychology and Aging*; Aldwin, C.M., Park, C.L., Spiro, A., Eds.; Guilford Press: New York, NY, USA, 2007.
84. Dannefer, D.; Settersten, R.A., Jr. The Study of Life Course: Implications for Social Gerontology. In *The SAGE Handbook of Social Gerontology*; Dannefer, D., Philipson, C., Eds.; SAGE: London, UK, 2010; pp. 3–19.

85. Luo, M.; Ding, D.; Bauman, A.; Negin, J.; Phongsavan, P. Social Engagement Pattern, Health Behaviors and Subjective Well-Being of Older Adults: An International Perspective Using WHO-SAGE Survey Data. *BMC Public Health* **2020**, *20*, 99. [[CrossRef](#)]
86. Chan, S.H.M.; Qiu, L.; Esposito, G.; Mai, K.P.; Tam, K.P.; Cui, J. Nature in Virtual Reality Improves Mood and Reduces Stress: Evidence from Young Adults and Senior Citizens. *Virtual Real.* **2021**. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
87. Elsadek, M.; Shao, Y.; Liu, B. Benefits of Indirect Contact with Nature on the Physiopsychological Well-Being of Elderly People. *Health Environ. Res. Des. J.* **2021**, *14*, 227–241. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
88. Gamble, K.R.; Howard, J.H.; Howard, D.V. Not Just Scenery: Viewing Nature Pictures Improves Executive Attention in Older Adults. *Exp. Aging Res.* **2014**, *40*, 513–530. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
89. Tang, J.W.; Brown, R.D. The Effect of Viewing a Landscape on Physiological Health of Elderly Women. *J. Hous. Elder.* **2006**, *1*, 187–202. [[CrossRef](#)]
90. Twedt, E.; Rainey, R.M.; Proffitt, D.R. Designed Natural Spaces: Informal Gardens Are Perceived to Be More Restorative than Formal Gardens. *Front. Psychol.* **2016**, *7*, 88. [[CrossRef](#)]
91. Zhao, W.; Li, H.; Zhu, X.; Ge, T. Effect of Birdsong Soundscape on Perceived Restorativeness in an Urban Park. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* **2020**, *17*, 5659. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]

Disclaimer/Publisher’s Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.